

OFFICE OF THE APPELLATE AUTHORITY
DELHI RIGHT TO INFORMATION ACT 2001

PUBLIC GRIEVANCES COMMISSION
Govt. of National Capital Territory of Delhi

Date of hearing: 14th May, 2018

Appellant : **Shri Mahender Kumar Khattar**

Competent Authority : **Director (Personnel),**
 North DMC,
 13th Floor, Dr. Shyama Prasad Mukherjee Civic Centre,
 Jawahar Lal Nehru Road,
 New Delhi – 110 002.

Appeal No. : 786/2017/PGC/DRI/NDMC
 Application filed on : 03/10/2017
 Response of Competent Authority : No response received
 Appeal filed on : 07/11/2017
 First hearing in PGC, Scheduled : 23/04/2018

1. Brief facts of the Appeal

Shri Vinod Kumar filed Form-A during October, 2017, under the Delhi RTI Act, 2001, with the Competent Authority i.e. Director (Personnel), CED, North DMC, seeking information on total 1 counts.

Shri Vinod Kumar did not receive a reply from the Office of Director (Personnel), CED, North DMC, hence, he filed an Appeal during November, 2017, before the Appellate Authority / PGC, under Section 7 of the Delhi Right to Information Act, 2001.

2. Proceedings in the Public Grievances Commission

The PGC has convened its first hearing on 14th May, 2018. At today's hearing on 14/05/2018, the following were present :

Appellant : Present

Respondent : Sh. R.K. Madaan, S.O. CED, North DMC
 Sh. Atul Gupta, SSA, CED, North DMC

3. Relevant facts emerging during the hearing

3.1 During the hearing on 14/05/2018, Sh. R.K. Madaan, S.O., informed that the requisite information has already been provided to the appellant vide letter dated 20/12/2017. A copy of the same is placed in the file.

3.2 The information supplied by the department has been perused and it has been observed that the department had provided satisfactory information, however the same has not been signed by the Competent Authority i.e. Director (Personnel), North DMC.

3.3 Representative of the department submitted that in respect of the application filed under RTI Act-2005 by the appellant on the same questions, the appellant has inspected all the available record and provided photocopies of the documents, free of cost, as asked by him. He further submitted that one file has been missing and the enquiry regarding the same has already been pending. He further stated that no other record pertaining to the matter is available with the department.

3.4 The appellant on the other hand stated that he is not satisfied with the information provided by the department, however he admitted inspection of the record and admitted that he had received documents from the department.

4. Directions of the Appellate Authority / PGC

4.1 Since the department had provided satisfactory information to the appellant, no further action is required in the matter. However, Competent Authority i.e. Director (Personnel), CED, North DMC is directed to furnish a revised reply to the appellant, duly signed by the Competent Authority, properly stamped, having the name of the Competent Authority, within 15 days from the date of issue of this Order with a copy to the Commission.

With the above observations and directions, the present appeal case is ordered to be closed before the Appellate Authority / Member (PGC)

**(SUDHIR YADAV)
APPELLATE AUTHORITY / MEMBER (PGC)**

Copy to:

1. Director (Personnel), CED, 13th Floor, North DMC, Dr. Shyama Prasad Mukherjee Civic Centre, Jawahar Lal Nehru Road, New Delhi – 110 002.
2. Shri Mahendra Kumar Khattar